



Untangling the gut-brain axis: the relevance of gut microbiota in alcohol use disorder

Tommaso Dionisi¹, Valeria Maccauro¹, Giovanni Addolorato^{1,2}

¹Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Catholic University of Rome, Rome, Italy

²Internal Medicine and Alcohol Related Disease Unit, Columbus-Gemelli Hospital, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy

Corresponding Author: Giovanni Addolorato, MD; e-mail: giovanni.addolorato@unicatt.it

ABSTRACT

Alcohol Use Disorder (AUD) is a chronic health problem marked by an inability to cease or control alcohol use. This disorder significantly disrupts physiological functions, negatively impacting various body systems and posing significant detriment to both individual and societal health. Recent studies have shown that AUD has a significant impact on the Gut-Brain Axis (GBA), which involves a complex interplay of neuroimmunoendocrine and metabolic pathways.

There is strong evidence to suggest that alcohol-induced gut dysbiosis is closely linked to the development of various physiological and behavioral symptoms seen in individuals with AUD. Gut dysbiosis can influence brain functions, potentially modulating drinking motivation, reward mechanisms, and the development of alcohol dependence. These observations underscore the potential of the gut microbiota as a therapeutic target for AUD.

New approaches like Fecal Microbiota Transplantation (FMT), where healthy gut bacteria are transferred from a healthy donor to a patient, as well as the use of probiotics and prebiotics, show promise as potential treatments for AUD. The role of postbiotics – byproducts of gut bacteria metabolism – in modulating the microbiota-GBA also holds substantial promise in AUD treatment, indicating that a more detailed understanding of the microbiota-gut-brain axis could lead to novel and effective interventions for this complex disorder. ALCOHOL USE DISORDER ALCOHOL GUT-BRAIN AXIS MICROBIOTA DYSBIOSIS

KEYWORDS

This review highlights the need for more research to better understand how the different components of the gut-brain axis interact and how they contribute to the development and progression of AUD.

INTRODUCTION

Alcohol Use Disorder (AUD) is a chronic, recurring condition characterized by the excessive and compulsive consumption of alcohol, leading to detrimental effects for individuals and society. According to the World Health Organization, AUD involves millions of people worldwide and has resulted in an alarming 3.3 million deaths every year. This shows the seriousness of the epidemic and the urgent need to investigate its contributing factors¹.

NUTRIMENTUM ET CURAE

Nutrimentum et Curae is an Indicon S.r.l. project



For effective prevention and treatment of various organ systems, it is crucial to comprehend the complexity of AUD, which is a result of biological, social, and physical factors.

The Gut-Brain Axis (GBA) is a bidirectional network that incorporates the multifaceted interaction of neuroimmunoendocrine and metabolic processes.

These pathway abnormalities could induce a variety of diseases, including AUD²⁻⁵.

The gut microbiota is pivotal to the dynamics of the GBA. According to research, gut microbiota modulates brain function and behavior, particularly about AUD⁶, emphasizing the need to understand these interactions in order to provide tailored therapies.

The human microbiome, containing 10^{13} to 10^{14} bacteria, is significantly more diverse than human genes, with *Bacteroidetes* and *Firmicutes* forming the core gut microbiota.

Alcohol interferes with gut bacteria and alters residents' communities. This condition, called dysbiosis, can be caused by changes in gut bacterial population distribution, metabolic activity, or bacterial species abundance. Alcohol-induced dysbiosis can have wide-ranging effects on both physical and mental health. This results in changes to metabolism, immune system function, and the integrity of the intestinal barrier and has an impact on brain activities, including GBA functions⁶.

Current scientific research focuses on the relationships between alcohol addiction, gut dysbiosis, increased intestinal permeability, and brain reward pathways⁷.

Gut microbiota plays a role in the production of essential metabolites, neurotransmitters, and appetite-modulating peptides, which are linked to alcohol misuse and alcohol-related diseases like alcoholic liver disease (ALD)⁸. Alcohol consumption leads to gut barrier deterioration, disrupting gut microbiota and allowing bacterial translocation^{9,10}. This triggers a stronger inflammatory response in the brain, increasing alcohol dependency⁸ and affecting gut microbiota¹¹⁻¹².

Gut microbiome changes can increase alcohol dependence risk, potentially affecting motivation and craving, and potentially affecting brain reward pathways and AUD progression¹³.

The evidence underscores the necessity of comprehensive research on microbial communities, host-microbiota interactions, and the potential effects of AUD. These findings could improve therapeutic interventions and prevent alcohol-induced dysbiosis. Emerging therapeutic strategies for treating AUD include Fecal Microbiota Transplantation (FMT), probiotics, and prebiotics. When combined with conventional treatments, these approaches have shown promising results¹⁴. Moreover, postbiotics may be useful in the management AUD. These small molecules, participating in microbiota homeostasis, hold the capacity to modulate gut microbiota and metabolite production, manipulate appetite-regulatory peptides, and restore emotional stability in AUD^{15,16}.

This narrative review will explore the complex relationship between gut microbiota and AUD, highlighting the contribution of the GBA and possible treatment implications.

THE GUT-BRAIN AXIS

AUD is caused by various physiological changes that are influenced by multiple factors, with the GBA playing a significant role in this process¹⁰. In recent studies that examined the effects of chronic alcohol consumption on the gut microbiota, it was found that alcohol consumption led to gut dysbiosis. The impairment of GBA caused by dysbiosis has a major effect on AUD, emphasizing the role of gut microbiota in its pathogenesis, development, and manifestation^{17,18}. Particularly, the composition of the gut microbiota varies remarkably under the influence of chronic alcohol consumption¹⁹. Alcohol directly affects the microbiota composition. In addition, it affects both the diet and the activity of the autonomous nervous system that regulates gut motility. These processes can affect the diversity, richness, and composition of the microbiome by promoting the growth of potentially harmful bacteria²⁰. The GBA provides bidirectional homeostatic communication between the brain and the gastrointestinal tract. The interplay occurs through four distinct routes: neural pathways, particularly the vagus nerve; immune pathways; neuroendocrine pathways also involving the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, and metabolic pathways. Inflammatory pathways also play a role, being triggered by molecules like interleukin (IL)-1ß and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α)¹⁰.

The Small Molecules in GBA and AUD

The gut microbiota has multiple functions, including the production of metabolites like short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs)²¹. Metabolites play a crucial role in facilitating communication between the gut and the brain, exerting both direct and indirect effects²². SCFAs, including butyrate, propionate, and acetate, are produced during the fermentation process when the gut bacteria break down dietary fibers²³. These fatty acids can pass through the blood-brain barrier, acting as a communication pathway between the gut and the brain^{24,25}.

In addition, SCFAs have been found to influence circulating immune cells and infiltrating immune cell pop-

ulations in the brain²⁴. The influence of SCFAs on the brain impacts neurological activity and mood²⁶.

SCFAs have the ability to interact with specific receptors on the cells lining the gut. This interaction can induce a wide array of effects that have the potential to modify the movement of the gut and the release of hormones within the gut. Both processes can affect gutbrain interactions, which may affect alcohol use²⁴.

Releasing gut hormones that affect the vagus nerve is a peripheral action. These nerves are the main way the gut communicates with the brain about organ health. SCFAs influence vagus nerve signaling, changing brain input and visceral processing. The behavior of substance use disorders may also be affected²⁷.

In addition, gut microbiota and its metabolites, especially SCFAs, affect immune system development and function. They regulate immune cells and produce anti-inflammatory chemicals. They can also affect gut and distant organ immune responses via circulation, linking brain function to immunological responses²⁸.

Indeed, SCFAs are critical to microglial function, the basic immune cells of the central nervous system (CNS). Microglia maintain homeostasis and respond to pathology, ensuring brain health²⁹. SCFAs influence microglial maturation and function, affecting immunological responses and the pathophysiology of many neurological illnesses, including addiction disorders¹¹.

Peptides, Neurotransmitters, and Hormones in GBA and AUD

The intricate association between neurotransmitters and hormones produced by gut bacteria offers a novel perspective on the pathology of AUD. These neurotransmitters and hormones are documented to influence the body's reactions and attitudes towards alcohol³⁰. As such, their roles in AUD pathology extend beyond effecting systemic responses and interactions with the enteric nervous system but also involve potential impacts on neuroendocrine pathways³¹.

Gut bacteria release γ -Aminobutyric acid (GABA), a CNS inhibitor. Research indicates that ethanol exposure increases GABA release, affecting GABA receptor plasticity, alcohol reliance, and withdrawal symptoms³². While GABA cannot breach the blood-brain barrier due to its polarity, changes in gut GABA levels can provoke physiological reactions, primarily through the host's immunological response and mediated neurogenic signals, thereby influencing AUD-related brain functions and behaviors³³.

Also, gut bacteria significantly influence dopamine synthesis, a neurotransmitter implicated in motivation and reward³⁴. Disruptions in gut dopamine could indirectly affect brain functionality, with systemic impacts including changes in immune responses potentially indirectly affecting the brain through gut-derived dopamine^{35,36}.

Gut bacteria generate and modulate serotonin levels, a neurotransmitter controlling intestinal movements. Gut-produced serotonin may trigger gut lumen receptors that relay brain neuronal impulses³⁷. It may also regulate platelet, osteogenic, and cardiovascular functions^{38,39}.

The gut microbiota synthesizes peptide hormones that directly affect brain function and behavior⁴⁰. For AUD, peptide hormones as cholecystokinin (CCK), leptin, ghrelin, and peptide YY (PYY) may affect alcohol-related physiological responses^{41,42}. Leptin, which regulates energy metabolism and appetite, is also altered by chronic ethanol consumption⁴³. Ghrelin-commonly referred to as the 'hunger hormone'-has been positively correlated with alcohol consumption. Studies have observed elevated levels of ghrelin in plasma of individuals diagnosed with AUD who have abstained from alcohol, indicating a potential link between plasma ghrelin levels and the duration of alcohol abstinence⁴⁴. The hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis is a key neuroendocrine system in AUD⁴⁵. Chronic alcohol use disrupts the HPA axis, affecting stress response^{46,47}. An inverse relation has been shown between the ability to manage stress and craving and relapse AUD patients^{48,49}.

Entero-Biliary Circulation in GBA and AUD

Biliary acids (BAs), produced from cholesterol in hepatocytes, control gut microbiota composition and metabolic as well as immunological processes⁵⁰. Moreover, BAs affect brain physiology, behavior, and cognition⁵⁰⁻⁵². Alcohol-related liver impairment affects BAs production, secretion, and transport⁵³.

Alcohol-induced liver impairment can alter BAs composition and metabolism, affecting enterohepatic circulation, gut flora, and systemic inflammation, leading to intestinal barrier failure and systemic inflammation^{54,55}. BAs play a crucial role in the gut-brain axis in AUD, influencing reward and satiety processes⁵⁶. Modulation of gut hormones, particularly glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), may have profound effects on reward pathways, influencing alcohol seeking and consumption behaviors⁵⁷.

BAs also affect neurotransmitter production, linking peripheral biliary function to central nervous regulation. Dysregulated BAs profiles can suggest hepatic dysfunction and have direct neuropsychiatric implications⁵⁸.

Therapeutic modulation of these pathways is necessary to improve AUD treatment and reduce biliary disorders⁵⁹. BAs sequestrants and FXR agonists can restore gut-brain balance and reduce some symptoms of AUD⁶⁰. This therapeutic method may modulate gut microbiota and provide neuroprotection⁶¹. The gut microbiota and brain communicate via entero-hepatic metabolites like





SCFAs, secondary bile acids, amino acid-derived metabolites, and subcellular bacterial components⁶². Food intake and energy balance are affected⁶².

The Vagus Nerve in GBA and AUD

The vagus nerve innervates the gut, controlling many gastrointestinal functions from the esophagus to the transverse colon⁶³. Its function extends beyond activities related to basic digestion and motility, establishing a linchpin role linking peripheral organs to the CNS⁶⁴. This connection facilitates the transfer of information on visceral organ conditions to the brain, emphasizing the importance of an intact vagus nerve in the GBA communications⁶⁵.

Moreover, the brain-gut connection creates an essential pathway for various signal transmissions⁶⁶. Evidence suggests that these pathways allow the gut microbiota to influence an individual's vulnerability to alcohol-related behaviors and AUD⁶⁷, substantiating the growing research emphasizing gut microbiota alterations in relation to AUD⁶⁸.

These changes in the microbiome profile and metabolite production may affect vagus nerve signal transmission⁶⁹. Thus, the vagal afferent communication pathway between the gut and brain may notably impact neurotransmission and inflammatory responses, potentially providing various strategies to mitigate neuroinflammatory conditions and adjust alcohol-seeking behavior through its influence on the brain's reward systems⁷⁰.

A recent study has highlighted a neural circuit connecting the gut and brain, specifically emphasizing the role of vagal neurons in the reward pathway⁷¹. This investigation suggests that alcohol-induced disturbances in the gut microbiota may impact striatal dopamine levels, contributing to neuroinflammation and impairments in reward responding⁷².

Previous studies on rodents have suggested that microbiome-depleted animals exhibit heightened reward sensitivity and withdrawal response alterations, confirming the crucial influence of gut microbiota on reward-seeking behaviors⁷³.

The vagus nerve's influence extends to the brain's reward system, encompassing the nucleus accumbens, the limbic system, and other areas involved in reward processing, motivation, and emotional regulation, which are essential in addiction⁷⁴. These areas are targeted by multi-synaptic pathways, with the vagal afferents transmitting gut signals to the nucleus tractus solitarius (NTS) in the brainstem, which then projects the signals to these brain locations⁷⁵.

Lastly, it is worthy to note that while gut-produced metabolites and immune signaling molecules can impact vagal nerve signaling, the vagus nerve itself also has the capacity to modulate the gut. For instance, stress can trigger increased vagus nerve activity, leading to changes in intestinal cell motility and secretion⁷⁶. This could, in turn, affect the composition and function of the gut microbiota and, hence, its effects on the entire body⁷⁷.

The Neuroinflammation in GBA and AUD

Neuroinflammation is a key feature in the intricate landscape of AUD⁷⁸. It is a protective mechanism that the body initiates to guard neural cells against diseases and damaging agents. However, when not properly modulated, as seen in AUD cases, it becomes harmful and can lead to neurological diseases⁷⁹.

The gut microbiota has a fundamental role in regulating the immune system; hence, any disruption, notably from AUD, could trigger a host of immunological responses, culminating in neuroinflammation⁷⁸. When AUD prompts alcoholic dysbiosis, gut permeability changes ensue, allowing bacterial toxins like lipopolysaccharides to enter the bloodstream. This escalates immune responses markedly, triggering the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines that affect not only peripheral regions but also the CNS^{79,80}. The chronic inflammation of neurons is known to contribute significantly to the detrimental effects of AUD, including cognitive impairments and mood disorders⁷⁰.

Moreover, AUD patients with neuroinflammation have altered alcohol responses⁷⁸. However, many unanswered questions linger, necessitating ongoing research into how neuroinflammation influences drug-seeking behaviors, or hinders the cessation of alcohol use⁷⁹. Initial findings suggest that neuroinflammation could impact the dopaminergic reward system, often linked to the motivation for alcohol consumption⁷⁹.

The relationships between microbiota alterations, neuroinflammation, and alcohol abuse are complex. The challenge lies in discerning whether microbiome changes prompting neuroinflammation are a consequence of AUD or if there's inherent microbiome variance contributing to AUD developments⁷⁹. The present research notes that both scenarios could exist⁷⁰. There is an observed interplay between alcohol-induced gut dysbiosis and intensified alcohol craving and consumption, creating a harmful cycle⁸⁰. Alternatively, gut microbiota variations could stem from genetic, environmental, or nutritional factors, potentially making certain individuals more susceptible to AUD development or harsher disease progression⁷⁹.

In conclusion, the interplay of gut-brain neuroinflammation in AUD ties into the disorder's development, manifestation, and treatment⁷⁸.

Gene Expression in GBA and AUD

Enduring changes in activity-dependent transcription and epigenetic modifications to chromatin structure associated with substance use disorders have been illustrated in research⁸¹.

One prime example is evident in germ-free mice. These mice exhibit altered gene expression in integral areas of the brain, such as the prefrontal cortex and amygdala⁸². Both germ-free mice and those subjected to antibiotics to rid their gut microbiome show changes in chromatin structure and gene expression in CNS microglia⁸³. Moreover, these mice with a depleted microbiome illustrate abnormal regulation of dopamine receptors and neurotrophic factors when exposed to alcohol⁸⁴.

Interestingly, the microbiome's influence on brain transcriptomics and epigenetics is of comparable magnitude in both germ-free mice and those that receive antibiotic treatments later in life. This suggests a significant dynamic role of the microbiome in gene regulation that carries on throughout the organism's lifetime⁸⁵.

It appears that neuroactive molecules originating from bacteria are instrumental in triggering changes in gene expression and epigenetics influenced by the microbiome. Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), products of bacterial fermentation, have extensive regulatory impacts and can inhibit histone deacetylase. Butyrate and acetate are especially efficient among these SCFAs²⁶. Specifically, gut-derived acetate has been found to considerably affect the brain, modifying histone acetylation patterns, and thereby inducing changes in memory consolidation and individual's response to alcohol⁸⁶.

PHYSIOLOGICAL IMPLICATIONS OF AUD ON GBA

The Influence of AUD on Neurological Functions: The Involvement of GBA

AUD extensively impacts brain function. Research reveals that AUD inherently modifies various brain areas, including the hippocampus-amygdala-frontal limb circuit, consequently affecting emotional processing and cognitive function⁸⁷⁻⁸⁹.

Persistent alcohol consumption modifies the gut's microbial flora, which in turn influences the brain's response to AUD. Tests on mice indicated that those with reduced gut microbiota displayed abnormal cognitive and affective processing⁹⁰⁻⁹², highlighting the correlation between gut microbiota and brain health.

AUD affects the hippocampus, a brain area involved in the brain-gut axis, influencing glycerophospholipid metabolism and, as a result, neurogenesis and neuroplasticity⁹³. Studies also indicate that alterations to the gut microbiota can trigger an inflammatory response, leading to a significant decrease in hippocampal Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF) and monoamine neuromodulation, resulting in mood shift and impaired cognition³³.

Moreover, AUD severely impacts the brain's structure and function, especially in the hippocampus and medial prefrontal cortex. Beck et al. found enduring effects of long-term alcohol consumption on memory and learning capabilities⁹⁴.

The hippocampus, crucial for emotional regulation, relies on microbiome input for development⁹⁵. Early gut microbiota disruption leads to reduced BDNF and altered monoamine neuromodulation function⁹⁶.

AUD can lead to dysregulation of the amygdala, a brain region essential for regulating negative emotions. Studies found a correlation between heightened amygdala activation and the presence of mood disorders and cognitive dysfunction^{97,98}.

Significant alterations in behavior and cognition can also arise from gut microbial interference with the fronto-limbic system, specifically the amygdala and hippocampus^{99,100}. Behavioral disturbances imply increased risk-taking behavior as a result of microbiome changes. Furthermore, it is important to note that AUD has a detrimental effect on the prefrontal cortex, which is important for emotional regulation. This serves as a risk factor for the development of various mental disorders¹⁰¹. This alteration contributes to the modification of the gut-brain axis through a top-down mechanism¹⁰². It suggests therapeutic targeting of the gut microbiota could potentially remediate mental disorders.

The gut microbiota also plays a role in prefrontal cortex (PFC) development, essential for inhibitory control, cognitive flexibility, and emotion regulation¹⁰³. Disruptions in PFC-related behaviors were observed with gut microbiota disruptions^{104,105}.

The physiological effects of AUD are further complicated by its detrimental impact on the Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB). Excessive alcohol consumption can negatively impact the BBB's structure and function¹⁰⁶. This occurs due to an increase in oxidative stress. Toxic byproducts can pass through the BBB, causing neural depletion and dysfunction in glial cells, ultimately resulting in brain damage¹⁰⁷. BBB damage can enable bacterial metabolites, such as LPS, to reach the CNS, leading to an increased pro-inflammatory response¹⁰⁸.

The Influence of AUD on Gut Microbiota Composition

Alcohol and its metabolites have a significant influence on the gut microbiota¹⁰⁹. Alcohol has direct effects on bacterial proliferation and indirect effects on the intestinal milieu, causing acidity and inflammation that affect gut microbiota¹⁰⁹.





Among phylum-level changes, studies have shown that alcohol consumption promotes a rise in the relative abundance of *Proteobacteria* and *Verrucomicrobia*, contrasted with a decrease in *Actinobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, and *Bacteroidetes*^{110,111}. Concurrently, alcohol leads to an increase in the abundance of classes like *Gammaproteobacteria*, *Bacilli*, and *Fusobacteria*, while decreasing the relative abundance of *Bacteroidetes*, *Clostridia*, and *Actinobacteria*^{111,112}.

Family-level alterations as a result of alcohol exposure include an increased abundance of *Enterobactericaea, Desulfovibrionaceae, Erysipelotrichaceae, Ruminococcaceae*, and *Lachnospiraceae*. A decrease is observed for *Porphyromonadaceae, Veillonellaceae, Bacteroidaceae, Paraprevotellaceae, Lachnospiraceae*, and *Clostridiaceae*^{111,113}.

In regard to genera, entities such as *Klebsiella* and *Lactococcus* see a noticeable increase because of alcohol exposure. On the other hand, *Clostridium, Akkermansia, Clostridiales*, and *Coprococcus* display reduced levels upon alcohol consumption¹¹⁴.

The gut hosts a combination of both beneficial and harmful bacteria¹¹⁵⁻¹²⁰. Beneficial bacteria such as *Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, Muribaculum intestinale, Ruminococcus, Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, and *Akkermansia* play essential roles in maintaining gut function, immune regulation, and managing inflammatory responses, while counteracting alcohol-induced perturbations¹¹⁵⁻¹²⁰.

Conversely, harmful bacteria like Enterobacteriaceae, *Klebsiella, Lactococcus*, and the *Clostridium* cluster XIVa create inflammation, liver damage, and chronic neuroinflammation while spurring the onset and spread of diseases¹²¹⁻¹²⁴.

Studies using 16S rRNA sequencing reveal that alcohol alters the composition of the gut microbiota¹²⁵. Key findings include a re- duction of beneficial bacteria like *Lactobacillus* (or *Sporolactobacillus*) and a parallel increase of entities such as *Allobaculum* following alcohol exposure.. These changes occur without altering the overall number of gut microbiota species, as confirmed through Shannon analysis¹²⁵.

The pattern and dosage of alcohol consumption induce distinct modifications in gut microbiota composition. Acute, or episodic, drinking commonly induces reversible, temporary changes²⁰. In contrast, sustained exposure to alcohol prompts more intense and long-lasting transformations, usually calling for complex and comprehensive intervention strategies for reversa^{120,126}.

The variability in drinking dosages leads to disparate timelines for the restoration of normal gut microbiota. Specifically, changes induced by lower alcohol doses can be modified using suitable interventions¹²⁷. However, the reestablishment of extreme alcohol dose-induced gut

dysbiosis requires a substantially extended duration³. Patients with AUD manifest a decrease in *Akkermansia* and an escalation in *Bacteroides*, possibly representing a distinct gut microbial fingerprint¹²⁸.

Microbiota: Implications for Alcohol Dependence and Cravings

Preclinical studies suggest the role of the gut microbiota in the pathophysiology of alcohol addiction. Mice exposed to ethanol over four weeks exhibited significant changes in bacterial taxa, especially substantial reductions in the genus *Clostridium*¹²⁹. Furthermore, correlations have been reported between this specific genus of bacteria and several addiction-related behaviors, predominantly increased impulsivity, inattention deficits, and reward learning¹³⁰.

Preliminary human investigations have greatly emphasized the relationship between AUD-induced gut dysbiosis and alcohol withdrawal and craving responses. Bajaj et al¹³¹ propose that the gut microbiota composition remained affected despite the restoration of intestinal permeability following a three-week detoxification period. These alterations and their physiological effects may significantly contribute to the negative reinforcement process linked to alcohol consumption¹³². Experiments involving the FMT from alcohol-consuming mice to healthy mice resulted in the recipient mice displaying symptoms of withdrawal-anxiety¹³¹. According to a phase 1 clinical trial, individuals who underwent FMT therapy experienced short-term enhancements in their impulse control and reduced cravings. These improvements were found to have a negative association with the *Ruminococcaceae genera*¹³¹.

THE GUT-BRAIN AXIS: A POSSIBLE THERAPEUTIC TARGET

Gut microbiota could be an important target for GBA therapy due to its ease of manipulation⁸¹.

Probiotics, living microorganisms conferring health benefit, have been extensively studied for their therapeutic effects and potential to regulate gut microbiota imbalances caused by AUD¹³³. They influence neurotransmitter systems, immune responses, and gut barrier function¹³⁴. *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* strains produce neurotransmitters such as GABA¹³⁵.

Clinical research and systematic reviews support probiotic treatment for AUD. Bravo et al. found that a specific Lactobacillus strain affected brain GABA receptor expression and reduced stress and anxiety in mice¹³⁶. A comprehensive review by Tsai et al. found that pro- biotics improved cognitive function, mood, and alcohol appetite in AUD patients¹³⁷. Probiotics also reduce pro-inflammatory molecules and prevent reward and craving brain regions from activating by restoring gut microbial balance and gut barrier function¹³⁸.

Savignac et al.¹³⁹ found that specific Bifidobacterium isolates significantly mitigated depressive and anxious behaviors in mice. Further, other research¹⁴⁰ revealed that Bifidobacterium longum attenuated responses to negative emotional stimuli in various brain regions by over 60% and reduced depression scores. On the other hand, Lactobacillus demonstrated antidepressant patterns¹⁴¹. The emerging understanding of psychobiotics show potential in aiding individuals with AUD. However, further clinical and preclinical studies are required³³. Prebiotics, non-digestible dietary compounds, have been shown to selectively stimulate the growth and activity of beneficial bacteria in the gut, contributing to a healthier gut microbiota and overall gut health¹⁴². Studies have demonstrated the promising effects of prebiotics in mitigating symptoms and improving outcomes in individuals with AUD¹⁴²⁻¹⁴⁴. For instance, Ames et al.¹⁴⁵ found that increased consumption of dietary fiber was associated with beneficial changes in the gut microbiota in individuals with AUD, indicating a potential therapeutic effect. According to Carlson et al.¹⁴⁶, prebiotics bolster the gut microbiota on a larger scale, indicating potential therapeutic effects on mood disorders commonly associated with AUD¹⁴³.

Fecal microbiota transplant (FMT) has garnered attention as a novel intervention aimed at restoring a healthy gut microbiota composition and function¹⁴⁷. Research has shown promising results regarding the effects of FMT on gut microbiota composition and alcohol-related behaviors. Studies have indicated that FMT from non-drinking donors can attenuate ethanol-induced liver damage, reduce alcohol preference, and modulate the brain's reward circuitry, thereby impacting alcohol-related behaviors¹⁴⁷. Furthermore, FMT has been proposed as a therapeutic approach to restore gut homeostasis and reduce systemic inflammation in individuals with AUD¹⁴⁸. Animal studies have indicated that FMT from non-drinking donors attenuated ethanol-induced liver damage and reduced alcohol preference, showcasing the potential role of FMT in modifying alcohol-related behaviors¹⁴⁹. These findings suggest that FMT may modulate the brain's reward circuitry and improve abstinence rates in AUD patients.

Antibiotics can significantly alter microbial populations, potentially affecting the therapeutic response of AUD patients¹⁵⁰ and potentially causing collateral damage to gut microbiota diversity¹⁵¹.

Modifications in diet can also rapidly shift gut microbial compositions¹⁵². As such, diet may serve as a significant non-pharmacological tool for improving gut health, helping to alleviate AUD symptoms.

Increasing attention has been paid to postbiotics and small molecules for their potential in managing AUD¹⁵⁰. Yet, our understanding of these elements remains in its early stage.

Substantial opportunities exist to use the gut-brain axis as a therapeutic target in AUD, given the potential of non-pharmacological interventions like probiotics, prebiotics, and FMT. These interventions, supported by diet amendments, require comprehensive analysis and rigorous testing. The field is prepared for future research development, offering the potential for innovative techniques to address AUD holistically.

CONCLUSIONS

The relationship between the gut microbiota and the GBA is an emerging area of research in the study and treatment of AUD. Recent findings have shed light on the complex connections between alcohol and gut dysbiosis, highlighting how this condition could persist even after alcohol withdrawal. These insights suggest that it plays a significant role in the negative reinforcement patterns linked to alcohol consumption. Studies on FMT show gut bacteria's significant impact on the behavioral symptoms of AUD, suggesting it could be a promising new therapeutic approach.

Further investigation is required to fully understand the implications of the microbiota-gut-brain axis interaction for AUD, due to its inherent complexity. Exploring these intricate pathways offers a novel opportunity, potentially as a supplement or alternative to conventional AUD treatments. This research enhances our understanding of AUD and has the potential to improve its treatment and care.

Managing the gut microbiota through therapeutic interventions may treat AUD, according to growing research. Understanding gut microbiota complexities remains challenging, but rigorous scientific research is crucial for managing AUD and improving overall health outcomes.

Conflict of Interest:

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References:

1. WHO. Global Status Report on Alcohol and Health, 2014. World Health Organization, 2014.

2. Carvalho AF, Heilig M, Perez A, Probst C, Rehm J. Alcohol use disorders. Lancet. 2019; 394(10200): 781-792. Doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(19)31775-1





3. Bajaj JS. Alcohol, liver disease and the gut microbiota. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2019; 16(4): 235-246. Doi: 10.1038/s41575-018-0099-1

4. Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, Nielsen T, Pons N, Levenez F, Yamada T, Mende DR, Li J, Xu J, Li S, Li D, Cao J, Wang B, Liang H, Zheng H, Xie Y, Tap J, Lepage P, Bertalan M, Batto JM, Hansen T, Le Paslier D, Linneberg A, Nielsen HB, Pelletier E, Renault P, Sicheritz-Ponten T, Turner K, Zhu H, Yu C, Li S, Jian M, Zhou Y, Li Y, Zhang X, Li S, Qin N, Yang H, Wang J, Brunak S, Doré J, Guarner F, Kristiansen K, Pedersen O, Parkhill J, Weissenbach J, Bork P, Ehrlich SD, Wang J. A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. Nature. 2010; 464(7285): 59-65. Doi: 10.1038/nature08821

5. Sender R, Fuchs S, Milo R. Are We Really Vastly Outnumbered? Revisiting the Ratio of Bacterial to Host Cells in Humans. Cell. 2016; 164(3): 337-340. Doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.01.013

6. Rocco A. Alcoholic disease: liver and beyond. World J Gastroenterol. 2014; 20(40): 14652. Doi: 10.3748/ wjg.v20.i40.14652

7. Fan Y, Ya-E Z, Ji-Dong W, Yu-fan L, Ying Z, Ya-lun S, Meng-Yu M, Rui-ling Z. Comparison of Microbial Diversity and Composition in Jejunum and Colon of the Alcohol-dependent Rats. J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2018; 28(11): 1883-1895. Doi: 10.4014/jmb.1806.06050

Chen G, Shi F, Yin W, Guo Y, Liu A, Shuai J, Sun J. Gut microbiota dysbiosis: The potential mechanisms by which alcohol disrupts gut and brain functions. Front Microbiol. 2022; 13. Doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.916765
de Timary P, Leclercq S, Stärkel P, Delzenne N. A dysbiotic subpopulation of alcohol-dependent subjects. Gut Microbes. 2015; 6(6): 388-391. Doi: 10.1080/19490976.2015.1107696

10. Jansen JM, van Holst RJ, van den Brink W, Veltman DJ, Caan MWA, Goudriaan AE. Brain function during cognitive flexibility and white matter integrity in alcohol-dependent patients, problematic drinkers and healthy controls. Addict Biol. 2014; 20(5): 979-989. Doi: 10.1111/adb.12199

11. Stavro K, Pelletier J, Potvin S. Widespread and sustained cognitive deficits in alcoholism: a metaanalysis. Addict Biol. 2012; 18(2): 203-213. Doi: 10.1111/j.1369-1600.2011.00418.x

12. Arumugam M, Raes J, Pelletier E, Le Paslier D, Yamada T, Mende DR, Fernandes GR, Tap J, Bruls T, Batto JM, Bertalan M, Borruel N, Casellas F, Fernandez L, Gautier L, Hansen T, Hattori M, Hayashi T, Kleerebezem M, Kurokawa K, Leclerc M, Levenez F, Manichanh C, Nielsen HB, Nielsen T, Pons N, Poulain J, Qin J, Sicheritz-Ponten T, Tims S, Torrents D, Ugarte E, Zoetendal EG, JunWang, Guarner F, Pedersen O, de Vos WM, Brunak S, Doré J, Consortium M, Weissenbach J, Ehrlich SD, Bork P. Erratum: Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. Nature. 2011; 474(7353): 666-666. Doi: 10.1038/nature10187

13. Xie L, Rungratanawanich W, Yang Q, Tong G, Fu E, Lu S, Liu Y, Akbar M, Song BJ, Wang X. Therapeutic strategies of small molecules in the microbiota-gut-brain axis for alcohol use disorder. Drug Discov Today. 2023; 28(5): 103552. Doi: 10.1016/j. drudis.2023.103552

14. Liu L, Huh JR, Shah K. Microbiota and the gutbrain-axis: Implications for new therapeutic design in the CNS. eBioMedicine. 2022; 77: 103908. Doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.103908

15. Simpson S, Mclellan R, Wellmeyer E, Matalon F, George O. Drugs and bugs: the gut-brain axis and substance use disorders. J Neuroimmune Pharmacol. 2021; 17(1-2): 33-61. Doi: 10.1007/s11481-021-10022-7

16. Li X, Chen LM, Kumar G, Zhang SJ, Zhong Q hai, Zhang HY, Gui G, Wu LL, Fan HZ, Sheng JW. Therapeutic Interventions of Gut-Brain Axis as Novel Strategies for Treatment of Alcohol Use Disorder Associated Cognitive and Mood Dysfunction. Front Neurosci. 2022; 16. Doi: 10.3389/fnins.2022.820106

17. Temko JE, Bouhlal S, Farokhnia M, Lee MR, Cryan JF, Leggio L. The Microbiota, the Gut and the Brain in Eating and Alcohol Use Disorders: A 'Ménage à Trois'? Alcohol Alcohol. 2017; 52(4): 403-413. Doi: 10.1093/alcalc/agx024

18. Sharon G, Sampson TR, Geschwind DH, Mazmanian SK. The Central Nervous System and the Gut Microbiome. Cell. 2016; 167(4): 915-932. Doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2016.10.027

19. Bjørkhaug ST, Aanes H, Neupane SP, Bramness JG, Malvik S, Henriksen C, Skar V, Medhus AW, Valeur J. Characterization of gut microbiota composition and functions in patients with chronic alcohol overconsumption. Gut Microbes. 2019; 10(6): 663-675. Doi: 10.1080/19490976.2019.1580097

20. Galligan JJ, Sternini C. Insights into the Role of Opioid Receptors in the GI Tract: Experimental Evidence and Therapeutic Relevance. Handb Exp Pharmacol. 2017; 239: 363-378. Doi: 10.1007/164_2016_116 21. Macfarlane GT, Macfarlane S. Bacteria, Colonic Fermentation, and Gastrointestinal Health. J AOAC Int. 2012; 95(1): 50-60. Doi: 10.5740/jaoacint.sge_ macfarlane

22. Holzer P, Farzi A, Hassan AM, Zenz G, Jačan A, Reichmann F. Visceral Inflammation and Immune Activation Stress the Brain. Front Immunol. 2017; 8. Doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01613

23. Sender R, Fuchs S, Milo R. Revised Estimates for



the Number of Human and Bacteria Cells in the Body. PloS Biol. 2016; 14(8): e1002533. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002533

24. Dalile B, Van Oudenhove L, Vervliet B, Verbeke K. The role of short-chain fatty acids in microbiota-gut-brain communication. Nature Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2019; 16(8): 461-478. Doi: 10.1038/s41575-019-0157-3

25. Rutsch A, Kantsjö JB, Ronchi F. The Gut-Brain Axis: How Microbiota and Host Inflammasome Influence Brain Physiology and Pathology. Front Immunol. 2020; 11. Doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.604179

26. Schroeder FA, Lin CL, Crusio WE, Akbarian S. Antidepressant-Like Effects of the Histone Deacetylase Inhibitor, Sodium Butyrate, in the Mouse. Biol Psychiatry. 2007; 62(1): 55-64. Doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.06.036

27. Goverse G, Stakenborg M, Matteoli G. The intestinal cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway. J Physiol. 2016; 594(20): 5771-5780. Doi: 10.1113/jp271537

28. LeBlanc JG, Milani C, de Giori GS, Sesma F, van Sinderen D, Ventura M. Bacteria as vitamin suppliers to their host: a gut microbiota perspective. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2013; 24(2): 160-168. Doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2012.08.005

29. Augusto-Oliveira M, Arrifano GP, Lopes-Araújo A, Santos-Sacramento L, Takeda PY, Anthony DC, Malva JO, Crespo-Lopez ME. What Do Microglia Really Do in Healthy Adult Brain? Cells. 2019; 8(10): 1293. Doi: 10.3390/cells8101293

30. Kelly JR, Kennedy PJ, Cryan JF, Dinan TG, Clarke G, Hyland NP. Breaking down the barriers: the gut microbiome, intestinal permeability and stress-related psychiatric disorders. Front Cell Neurosci. 2015; 9. Doi: 10.3389/fncel.2015.00392

31. Martin CR, Osadchiy V, Kalani A, Mayer EA. The Brain-Gut-Microbiome Axis. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018; 6(2): 133-148. Doi: 10.1016/j.jcmgh.2018.04.003

32. Moloney RD, Desbonnet L, Clarke G, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. The microbiome: stress, health and disease. Mamm Genome. 2013; 25(1-2): 49-74. Doi: 10.1007/ s00335-013-9488-5

33. Yarandi SS, Peterson DA, Treisman GJ, Moran TH, Pasricha PJ. Modulatory Effects of Gut Microbiota on the Central Nervous System: How Gut Could Play a Role in Neuropsychiatric Health and Diseases. J Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2016; 22(2): 201-212. Doi: 10.5056/jnm15146

34. Sudo N, Chida Y, Aiba Y, Sonoda J, Oyama N, Yu X, Kubo C, Koga Y. Postnatal microbial colonization programs the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal system for stress response in mice. J Physiol. 2004; 558(1):

263-275. Doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2004.063388

35. Cryan JF, Dinan TG. Mind-altering microorganisms: the impact of the gut microbiota on brain and behaviour. Nat Revs Neurosci. 2012; 13(10): 701-712. Doi: 10.1038/nrn3346

36. Sherwin E, Bordenstein SR, Quinn JL, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Microbiota and the social brain. Science. 2019; 366(6465). Doi: 10.1126/science.aar2016

37. Dinan TG, Cryan JF. The impact of gut microbiota on brain and behaviour. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metabol Care. 2015; 18(6): 552-558. Doi: 10.1097/ mco.00000000000221

38. O'Mahony SM, Clarke G, Borre YE, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Serotonin, tryptophan metabolism and the brain-gut-microbiome axis. Behav Brain Res. 2015; 277: 32-48. Doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2014.07.027

39. Wacławiková B, El Aidy S. Role of Microbiota and Tryptophan Metabolites in the Remote Effect of Intestinal Inflammation on Brain and Depression. Pharmaceuticals. 2018; 11(3): 63. Doi: 10.3390/ph11030063

40. Messaoudi M, Violle N, Bisson JF, Desor D, Javelot H, Rougeot C. Beneficial psychological effects of a probiotic formulation (Lactobacillus helveticusR0052 and Bifidobacterium longumR0175) in healthy human volunteers. Gut Microbes. 2011; 2(4): 256-261. Doi: 10.4161/gmic.2.4.16108

41. Leclercq S, Cani PD, Neyrinck AM, Stärkel P, Jamar F, Mikolajczak M, Delzenne NM, de Timary P. Role of intestinal permeability and inflammation in the biological and behavioral control of alcohol-dependent subjects. Brain Behav Immun. 2012; 26(6): 911-918. Doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2012.04.001

42. Neufeld KM, Kang N, Bienenstock J, Foster JA. Reduced anxiety-like behavior and central neurochemical change in germ-free mice. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2010; 23(3): 255-e119. Doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2982.2010.01620.x

43. Haass-Koffler CL, Aoun EG, Swift RM, de la Monte SM, Kenna GA, Leggio L. Leptin levels are reduced by intravenous ghrelin administration and correlated with cue-induced alcohol craving. Transl Psychiatry. 2015; 5(9): e646-e646. Doi: 10.1038/tp.2015.140

44. Leggio L, Addolorato G, Cippitelli A, Jerlhag E, Kampov-Polevoy AB, Swift RM. Role of feeding-related pathways in alcohol dependence: a focus on sweet preference, NPY, and ghrelin. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2010; 35(2): 194-202. Doi: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.2010.01334.x

45. Otte C, Hart S, Neylan TC, Marmar CR, Yaffe K, Mohr DC. A meta-analysis of cortisol response to challenge in human aging: importance of gender. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2005; 30(1): 80-91. Doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2004.06.002



46. Adinoff B, Junghanns K, Kiefer F, Krishnan-Sarin S. Suppression of the HPA axis stress-response: implications for relapse. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2005; 29(7): 1351-1355. Doi: 10.1097/01.alc.0000176356.97620.84 47. Koob G. Drug addiction, dysregulation of reward, and allostasis. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2001; 24(2): 97-129. Doi: 10.1016/s0893-133x(00)00195-0

48. Fox HC, Bergquist KL, Hong K, Sinha R. Stress-Induced and Alcohol Cue-Induced Craving in Recently Abstinent Alcohol-Dependent Individuals. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2007; 31(3): 395-403. Doi: 10.1111/j.1530-0277.2006.00320.x

49. Vendruscolo LF, Estey D, Goodell V, Macshane LG, Logrip ML, Schlosburg JE, McGinn MA, Zamora-Martinez ER, Belanoff JK, Hunt HJ, Sanna PP, George O, Koob GF, Edwards S, Mason BJ. Glucocorticoid receptor antagonism decreases alcohol seeking in alcohol-dependent individuals. J Clin Investig. 2015; 125(8): 3193-3197. Doi: 10.1172/jci79828

50. Ridlon JM, Kang DJ, Hylemon PB, Bajaj JS. Bile acids and the gut microbiome. Curr Opin Gastroenterol. 2014; 30(3): 332-338. Doi: 10.1097/mog.000000000000057

51. Hashimoto K. Gut-microbiota-brain axis by bile acids in depression. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2022;76(7):281-281. Doi: 10.1111/pcn.13370

52. Donepudi AC, Ferrell JM, Boehme S, Choi H, Chiang JYL. Deficiency of cholesterol 7α -hydroxylase in bile acid synthesis exacerbates alcohol-induced liver injury in mice. Hepatol Commun. 2017; 2(1): 99-112. Doi: 10.1002/hep4.1129

53. Schnabl B, Brenner DA. Interactions Between the Intestinal Microbiome and Liver Diseases. Gastroenterology. 2014; 146(6): 1513-1524. Doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2014.01.020

54. Arab JP, Arrese M, Shah VH. Gut microbiota in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and alcohol-related liver disease: current concepts and perspectives. Hepatol Res. 2020; 50(4): 407-418. Doi: 10.1111/hepr.13473 55. Hofmann AF, Hagey LR. Bile Acids: Chemistry, Pathochemistry, Biology, Pathobiology, and Therapeutics. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2008; 65(16): 2461-2483. Doi: 10.1007/s00018-008-7568-6

56. Tufvesson-Alm M, Shevchouk OT, Jerlhag E. Insight into the role of the gut-brain axis in alcohol-related responses: Emphasis on GLP-1, amylin, and ghrelin. Front Psychiatry. 2023; 13: 1092828. Doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.1092828

57. Jerlhag E. The therapeutic potential of glucagon-like peptide-1 for persons with addictions based on findings from preclinical and clinical studies. Front Pharmacol. 2023; 14: 1063033. Doi: 10.3389/fphar.2023.1063033 58. Leclercq S, Schwarz M, Delzenne NM, Stärkel P, de Timary P. Alterations of kynurenine pathway in alcohol use disorder and abstinence: a link with gut microbiota, peripheral inflammation and psychological symptoms. Transl Psychiatry. 2021; 11(1): 503. Doi: 10.1038/s41398-021-01610-5

59. Li T, Chiang JYL. Bile acid-based therapies for non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and alcoholic liver disease. Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr. 2020; 9(2): 152-169. Doi: 10.21037/hbsn.2019.09.03

60. Gupta H, Suk KT, Kim DJ. Gut Microbiota at the Intersection of Alcohol, Brain, and the Liver. J Clin Med. 2021; 10(3): 541. Doi: 10.3390/jcm10030541

61. Leclercq S, Stärkel P, Delzenne NM, de Timary P. The gut microbiota: A new target in the management of alcohol dependence? Alcohol. 2019; 74: 105-111. Doi: 10.1016/j.alcohol.2018.03.005

62. Wahlström A, Sayin SI, Marschall HU, Bäckhed F. Intestinal Crosstalk between Bile Acids and Microbiota and Its Impact on Host Metabolism. Cell Metab. 2016; 24(1): 41-50. Doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2016.05.005

63. Bonaz B, Bazin T, Pellissier S. The Vagus Nerve at the Interface of the Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis. Front Neurosci. 2018; 12. Doi: 10.3389/fnins.2018.00049

64. Bellono NW, Bayrer JR, Leitch DB, Castro J, Zhang C, O'Donnell TA, Brierley SM, Ingraham HA, Julius D. Enterochromaffin Cells Are Gut Chemosensors that Couple to Sensory Neural Pathways. Cell. 2017; 170(1): 185-198.e16. Doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.034 65. Bohórquez DV, Shahid RA, Erdmann A, Kreger AM, Wang Y, Calakos N, Wang F, Liddle RA. Neuroepithelial circuit formed by innervation of sensory enteroendocrine cells. J Clin Investig. 2015; 125(2): 782-786. Doi: 10.1172/jci78361

66. Williams EK, Chang RB, Strochlic DE, Umans BD, Lowell BB, Liberles SD. Sensory Neurons that Detect Stretch and Nutrients in the Digestive System. Cell. 2016; 166(1): 209-221. Doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2016.05.011

67. Li X, Chen LM, Kumar G, Zhang SJ, Zhong Q hai, Zhang HY, Gui G, Wu LL, Fan HZ, Sheng JW. Therapeutic Interventions of Gut-Brain Axis as Novel Strategies for Treatment of Alcohol Use Disorder Associated Cognitive and Mood Dysfunction. Front Neurosci. 2022; 16. Doi: 10.3389/fnins.2022.820106

68. Heiss CN, Olofsson LE. Gut Microbiota-Dependent Modulation of Energy Metabolism. J Innate Immun. 2017; 10(3): 163-171. Doi: 10.1159/000481519

69. Wikoff WR, Anfora AT, Liu J, Schultz PG, Lesley SA, Peters EC, Siuzdak G. Metabolomics analysis reveals large effects of gut microflora on mammalian blood metabolites. Proc Nat Acad Sci. 2009; 106(10): 3698-3703. Doi: 10.1073/pnas.0812874106



70. Ames NJ, Barb JJ, Schuebel K, Mudra S, Meeks BK, Tuason RTS, Brooks AT, Kazmi N, Yang S, Ratteree K, Diazgranados N, Krumlauf M, Wallen GR, Goldman D. Longitudinal gut microbiome changes in alcohol use disorder are influenced by abstinence and drinking quantity. Gut Microbes. 2020; 11(6): 1608-1631. Doi: 10.1080/19490976.2020.1758010

71. Suarez AN, Hsu TM, Liu CM, Noble EE, Cortella AM, Nakamoto EM, Hahn JD, de Lartigue G, Kanoski SE. Gut vagal sensory signaling regulates hippocampus function through multi-order pathways. Nat Commun. 2018; 9(1): 2181. Doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-04639-1

72. Wei J, Qin L, Fu Y, Dai Y, Wen Y, Xu S. Long-term consumption of alcohol exacerbates neural lesions by destroying the functional integrity of the blood-brain barrier. Drug Chem Toxicol. 2019; 45(1): 231-238. Doi: 10.1080/01480545.2019.1681444

73. Bruce SE, Yonkers KA, Otto MW, Eisen JL, Weisberg RB, Pagano M, Shea MT, Keller MB. Influence of psychiatric comorbidity on recovery and recurrence in generalized anxiety disorder, social phobia, and panic disorder: a 12-year prospective study. Am J Psychiatry. 2005; 162(6): 1179-1187. Doi: 10.1176/appi. ajp.162.6.1179

74. Cai YQ, Wang W, Paulucci-Holthauzen A, Pan ZZ. Brain Circuits Mediating Opposing Effects on Emotion and Pain. J Neurosci. 2018; 38(28): 6340-6349. Doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.2780-17.2018

75. Grill HJ, Hayes MR. Hindbrain Neurons as an Essential Hub in the Neuroanatomically Distributed Control of Energy Balance. Cell Metab. 2012; 16(3): 296-309. Doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2012.06.015

76. Yeager MP, Pioli PA, Guyre PM. Cortisol exerts bi-phasic regulation of inflammation in humans. Dose Response. 2010; 9(3): 332-347. Doi: 10.2203/dose-response.10-013. Yeager

77. Yano JM, Yu K, Donaldson GP, Shastri GG, Ann P, Ma L, Nagler CR, Ismagilov RF, Mazmanian SK, Hsiao EY. Indigenous Bacteria from the Gut Microbiota Regulate Host Serotonin Biosynthesis. Cell. 2015; 161(2): 264-276. Doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.02.047

78. Cryan JF, O'Riordan KJ, Cowan CSM, Sandhu KV, Bastiaanssen TFS, Boehme M, Codagnone MG, Cussotto S, Fulling C, Golubeva AV, Guzzetta KE, Jaggar M, Long-Smith CM, Lyte JM, Martin JA, Molinero-Perez A, Moloney G, Morelli E, Morillas E, O'Connor R, Cruz-Pereira JS, Peterson VL, Rea K, Ritz NL, Sherwin E, Spichak S, Teichman EM, van de Wouw M, Ventura-Silva AP, Wallace-Fitzsimons SE, Hyland N, Clarke G, Dinan TG. The Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis. Physiol Rev. 2019; 99(4): 1877-2013. Doi: 10.1152/ physrev.00018.2018 79. Carbia C, Lannoy S, Maurage P, López-Caneda E, O'Riordan KJ, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. A biological framework for emotional dysregulation in alcohol misuse: from gut to brain. Mol Psychiatry. 2020; 26(4): 1098-1118. Doi: 10.1038/s41380-020-00970-6

80. Shallice T, Cipolotti L. The Prefrontal Cortex and Neurological Impairments of Active Thought. Ann Rev Psychol. 2018; 69(1): 157-180. Doi: 10.1146/annurev-psych-010416-044123

81. Kiraly DD, Walker DM, Calipari ES, Labonte B, Issler O, Pena CJ, Ribeiro EA, Russo SJ, Nestler EJ. Alterations of the Host Microbiome Affect Behavioral Responses to Cocaine. Sci Rep. 2016; 6(1): 35455. Doi: 10.1038/srep35455

82. Luczynski P, McVey Neufeld KA, Oriach CS, Clarke G, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Growing up in a Bubble: Using Germ-Free Animals to Assess the Influence of the Gut Microbiota on Brain and Behavior. Int J Neuropsychopharmacology. 2016; 19(8): pyw020. Doi: 10.1093/ijnp/pyw020

83. Erny D, Hrabě de Angelis AL, Jaitin D, Wieghofer P, Staszewski O, David E, Keren-Shaul H, Mahlakoiv T, Jakobshagen K, Buch T, Schwierzeck V, Utermöhlen O, Chun E, Garrett WS, McCoy KD, Diefenbach A, Staeheli P, Stecher B, Amit I, Prinz M. Host microbiota constantly control maturation and function of microglia in the CNS. Nat Neurosci. 2015; 18(7): 965-977. Doi: 10.1038/nn.4030

84. Leclercq S, Matamoros S, Cani PD, Neyrinck AM, Jamar F, Stärkel P, Windey K, Tremaroli V, Bäckhed F, Verbeke K, de Timary P, Delzenne NM. Intestinal permeability, gut-bacterial dysbiosis, and behavioral markers of alcohol-dependence severity. Proc Nat Acad Sci. 2014; 111(42). Doi: 10.1073/pnas.1415174111

85. Hoban AE, Stilling RM, Ryan FJ, Shanahan F, Dinan TG, Claesson MJ, Clarke G, Cryan JF. Regulation of prefrontal cortex myelination by the microbiota. Transl Psychiatry. 2016; 6(4): e774-e774. Doi: 10.1038/tp.2016.42

86. Castro-Nallar E, Bendall ML, Pérez-Losada M, Sabuncyan S, Severance EG, Dickerson FB, Schroeder JR, Yolken RH, Crandall KA. Composition, taxonomy and functional diversity of the oropharynx microbiome in individuals with schizophrenia and controls. PeerJ. 2015; 3: e1140. Doi: 10.7717/peerj.1140

87. Perry CJ, Campbell EJ, Drummond KD, Lum JS, Kim JH. Sex differences in the neurochemistry of frontal cortex: Impact of early life stress. J Neurochem. 2020; 157(4): 963-981. Doi: 10.1111/jnc.15208

88. Heilig M, Epstein DH, Nader MA, Shaham Y. Time to connect: bringing social context into addiction neuroscience. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2016; 17(9): 592-599. doi: 10.1038/nrn.2016.67



89. Koob GF. Addiction is a Reward Deficit and Stress Surfeit Disorder. Front Psychiatry. 2013; 4: 72. Doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2013.00072

90. Bravo JA, Julio-Pieper M, Forsythe P, Kunze W, Dinan TG, Bienenstock J, Cryan JF. Communication between gastrointestinal bacteria and the nervous system. Curr Opin Pharmacol. 2012; 12(6): 667-672. Doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2012.09.010

91. Clarke G, Stilling RM, Kennedy PJ, Stanton C, Cryan JF, Dinan TG. Minireview: Gut Microbiota: The Neglected Endocrine Organ. Mol Endocrinol. 2014; 28(8): 1221-1238. Doi: 10.1210/me.2014-1108

92.- Zheng P, Zeng B, Zhou C, Liu M, Fang Z, Xu X, Zeng L, Chen J, Fan S, Du X, Zhang X, Yang D, Yang Y, Meng H, Li W, Melgiri ND, Licinio J, Wei H, Xie P. Gut microbiome remodeling induces depressive-like behaviors through a pathway mediated by the host's metabolism. Mol Psychiatry. 2016; 21(6): 786-796. Doi: 10.1038/mp.2016.44

93. Mangiola F. Gut microbiota in autism and mood disorders. World J Gastroenterol. 2016; 22(1): 361. Doi: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i1.361

94. Lee H, Thuret S. Adult Human Hippocampal Neurogenesis: Controversy and Evidence. Trends Mol Med. 2018; 24(6): 521-522. Doi: 10.1016/j. molmed.2018.04.002

95. Mayer EA, Tillisch K, Gupta A. Gut/brain axis and the microbiota. J Clin Investig. 2015; 125(3): 926-938. Doi: 10.1172/jci76304

96. Bercik P, Denou E, Collins J, Jackson W, Lu J, Jury J, Deng Y, Blennerhassett P, Macri J, McCoy KD, Verdu EF, Collins SM. The Intestinal Microbiota Affect Central Levels of Brain-Derived Neurotropic Factor and Behavior in Mice. Gastroenterology. 2011; 141(2): 599-609.e3. Doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2011.04.052

97. Taylor SB, Lewis CR, Olive MF. The neurocircuitry of illicit psychostimulant addiction: acute and chronic effects in humans. Subst Abuse Rehabil. 2013; 4: 29-43. Doi: 10.2147/SAR.S39684

98. Avila MA, Dufour JF, Gerbes AL, Zoulim F, Bataller R, Burra P, Cortez-Pinto H, Gao B, Gilmore I, Mathurin P, Moreno C, Poznyak V, Schnabl B, Szabo G, Thiele M, Thursz MR. Recent advances in al-cohol-related liver disease (ALD): summary of a Gut round table meeting. Gut. 2019; 69(4): 764-780. Doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2019-319720

99. Bear TLK, Dalziel JE, Coad J, Roy NC, Butts CA, Gopal PK. The Role of the Gut Microbiota in Dietary Interventions for Depression and Anxiety. Adv Nutr. 2020; 11(4): 890-907. Doi: 10.1093/advances/nmaa016 100. Butler MI, Mörkl S, Sandhu KV, Cryan JF, Dinan TG. The Gut Microbiome and Mental Health: What Should We Tell Our Patients?: Le microbiote Intestinal et la Santé Mentale: que Devrions-Nous dire à nos Patients? Can J Psychiatry. 2019; 64(11): 747-760. Doi: 10.1177/0706743719874168

101. Curtis CE, D'Esposito M. Persistent activity in the prefrontal cortex during working memory. Trends Cogn Sci. 2003; 7(9): 415-423. Doi: 10.1016/s1364-6613(03)00197-9

102. Bercury KK, Macklin WB. Dynamics and Mechanisms of CNS Myelination. Develop Cell. 2015; 32(4): 447-458. Doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2015.01.016

103. Mayer EA, Knight R, Mazmanian SK, Cryan JF, Tillisch K. Gut Microbes and the Brain: Paradigm Shift in Neuroscience. J Neurosci. 2014; 34(46): 15490-15496. Doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.3299-14.2014

104. Fröhlich EE, Farzi A, Mayerhofer R, Reichmann F, Jačan A, Wagner B, Zinser E, Bordag N, Magnes C, Fröhlich E, Kashofer K, Gorkiewicz G, Holzer P. Cognitive impairment by antibiotic-induced gut dysbiosis: Analysis of gut microbiota-brain communication. Brain Behav Immun. 2016; 56: 140-155. Doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2016.02.020

105. DuPont HL. Review article: evidence for the role of gut microbiota in irritable bowel syndrome and its potential influence on therapeutic targets. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2014; 39(10): 1033-1042. Doi: 10.1111/apt.12728

106. Haorah J, Knipe B, Leibhart J, Ghorpade A, Persidsky Y. Alcohol-induced oxidative stress in brain endothelial cells causes blood-brain barrier dysfunction. J Leukoc Biol. 2005; 78(6): 1223-1232. Doi: 10.1189/ jlb.0605340

107. Dumitrescu L, Popescu-Olaru I, Cozma L, Tulbă D, Hinescu ME, Ceafalan LC, Gherghiceanu M, Popescu BO. Oxidative Stress and the Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2018; 2018: 2406594. Doi: 10.1155/2018/2406594

108. Banks WA. The blood-brain barrier in neuroimmunology: Tales of separation and assimilation. Brain Behav Immun. 2015; 44: 1-8. Doi: 10.1016/j. bbi.2014.08.007

109. Lowe PP, Gyongyosi B, Satishchandran A, Iracheta-Vellve A, Ambade A, Kodys K, Catalano D, Ward DV, Szabo G. Alcohol-related changes in the intestinal microbiome influence neutrophil infiltration, inflammation and steatosis in early alcoholic hepatitis in mice. Alpini GD, ed. PloS One. 2017; 12(3): e0174544. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0174544

110. Yan AW, E. Fouts D, Brandl J, Stärkel P, Torralba M, Schott E, Tsukamoto H, E. Nelson K, A. Brenner D, Schnabl B. Enteric dysbiosis associated with a mouse model of alcoholic liver disease. Hepatology. 2010; 53(1): 96-105. Doi: 10.1002/hep.24018



111. Bjørkhaug ST, Aanes H, Neupane SP, Bramness JG, Malvik S, Henriksen C, Skar V, Medhus AW, Valeur J. Characterization of gut microbiota composition and functions in patients with chronic alcohol overconsumption. Gut Microbes. 2019; 10(6): 663-675. Doi: 10.1080/19490976.2019.1580097

112. Chen Y, Yang F, Lu H, Wang B, Chen Y, Lei D, Wang Y, Zhu B, Li L. Characterization of fecal microbial communities in patients with liver cirrhosis. Hepatology. 2011; 54(2): 562-572. Doi: 10.1002/hep.24423 113. Posteraro B, Paroni Sterbini F, Petito V, Rocca S, Cubeddu T, Graziani C, Arena V, Vassallo GA, Mosoni C, Lopetuso L, Lorrai I, Maccioni P, Masucci L, Martini C, Gasbarrini A, Sanguinetti M, Colombo G, Addolorato G. Liver Injury, Endotoxemia, and Their Relationship to Intestinal Microbiota Composition in Alcohol-Preferring Rats. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2018; 42(12): 2313-2325. Doi: 10.1111/acer.13900

114. Dubinkina VB, Tyakht AV, Odintsova VY, Yarygin KS, Kovarsky BA, Pavlenko AV, Ischenko DS, Popenko AS, Alexeev DG, Taraskina AY, Nasyrova RF, Krupitsky EM, Shalikiani NV, Bakulin IG, Shcherbakov PL, Skorodumova LO, Larin AK, Kostryukova ES, Abdulkhakov RA, Abdulkhakov SR, Malanin SY, Ismagilova RK, Grigoryeva TV, Ilina EN, Govorun VM. Links of gut microbiota composition with alcohol dependence syndrome and alcoholic liver disease. Microbiome. 2017; 5(1). Doi: 10.1186/s40168-017-0359-2

115. Kim N, Yun M, Oh YJ, Choi HJ. Mind-altering with the gut: Modulation of the gut-brain axis with probiotics. J Microbiol. 2018; 56(3): 172-182. Doi: 10.1007/s12275-018-8032-4

116. Smith PA. The tantalizing links between gut microbes and the brain. Nature. 2015; 526(7573): 312-314. Doi: 10.1038/526312a

117. Serpa J, Caiado F, Carvalho T, Torre C, Gonçalves LG, Casalou C, Lamosa P, Rodrigues M, Zhu Z, Lam EWF, Dias S. Butyrate-rich Colonic Microenvironment Is a Relevant Selection Factor for Metabolically Adapted Tumor Cells. J Biol Chem. 2010; 285(50): 39211-39223. Doi: 10.1074/jbc.m110.156026

118. Sokol H, Pigneur B, Watterlot L, Lakhdari O, Bermúdez-Humarán LG, Gratadoux JJ, Blugeon S, Bridonneau C, Furet JP, Corthier G, Grangette C, Vasquez N, Pochart P, Trugnan G, Thomas G, Blottière HM, Doré J, Marteau P, Seksik P, Langella P. Faecalibacterium prausnitzii is an anti-inflammatory commensal bacterium identified by gut microbiota analysis of Crohn disease patients. Proc Nat Acad Sci. 2008; 105(43): 16731-16736. Doi: 10.1073/pnas.0804812105

119. Ames NJ, Barb JJ, Schuebel K, Mudra S, Meeks BK, Tuason RTS, Brooks AT, Kazmi N, Yang S, Ratteree K, Diazgranados N, Krumlauf M, Wallen GR,

Goldman D. Longitudinal gut microbiome changes in alcohol use disorder are influenced by abstinence and drinking quantity. Gut Microbes. 2020; 11(6): 1608-1631. Doi: 10.1080/19490976.2020.1758010

120. Shen W, McDowell KA, Siebert AA, Clark SM, Dugger NV, Valentino KM, Jinnah HA, Sztalryd C, Fishman PS, Shaw CA, Jafri MS, Yarowsky PJ. Environmental neurotoxin-induced progressive model of parkinsonism in rats. Ann Neurol. 2010; 68(1): 70-80. Doi: 10.1002/ana.22018

121. Darby TM, Owens JA, Saeedi BJ, Luo L, Matthews JD, Robinson BS, Naudin CR, Jones RM. Lactococcus Lactis Subsp. cremoris Is an Efficacious Beneficial Bacterium that Limits Tissue Injury in the Intestine. iScience. 2019; 12: 356-367. Doi: 10.1016/j. isci.2019.01.030

122. Jiao N, Baker SS, Nugent CA, Tsompana M, Cai L, Wang Y, Buck MJ, Genco RJ, Baker RD, Zhu R, Zhu L. Gut microbiome may contribute to insulin resistance and systemic inflammation in obese rodents: a meta-analysis. Physiol Genom. 2018; 50(4): 244-254. Doi: 10.1152/physiolgenomics.00114.2017

123. Llopis M, Cassard AM, Wrzosek L, Boschat L, Bruneau A, Ferrere G, Puchois V, Martin JC, Lepage P, Le Roy T, Lefèvre L, Langelier B, Cailleux F, González-Castro AM, Rabot S, Gaudin F, Agostini H, Prévot S, Berrebi D, Ciocan D, Jousse C, Naveau S, Gérard P, Perlemuter G. Intestinal microbiota contributes to individual susceptibility to alcoholic liver disease. Gut. 2015; 65(5): 830-839. Doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2015-310585

124. Kim DH. Gut Microbiota-Mediated Drug-Antibiotic Interactions. Drug Metab Dispos. 2015; 43(10): 1581-1589. Doi: 10.1124/dmd.115.063867

125. Xiao HW, Ge C, Feng GX, Li Y, Luo D, Dong JL, Li H, Wang H, Cui M, Fan SJ. Gut microbiota modulates alcohol withdrawal-induced anxiety in mice. Toxicol Lett. 2018; 287: 23-30. Doi: 10.1016/j. toxlet.2018.01.021

126. Lee K, Vuong HE, Nusbaum DJ, Hsiao EY, Evans CJ, Taylor AMW. The gut microbiota mediates reward and sensory responses associated with regimen-selective morphine dependence. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2018; 43(13): 2606-2614. Doi: 10.1038/s41386-018-0211-9

127. Lin CS, Chang CJ, Lu CC, Martel J, Ojcius DM, Ko YF, Young JD, Lai HC. Impact of the gut microbiota, prebiotics, and probiotics on human health and disease. Biomed J. 2014; 37(5): 259-268. Doi: 10.4103/2319-4170.138314

128. Addolorato G, Ponziani FR, Dionisi T, Mosoni C, Vassallo GA, Sestito L, Petito V, Picca A, Marzetti E, Tarli C, Mirijello A, Zocco MA, Lopetuso LR, Anton-



elli M, Rando MM, Paroni Sterbini F, Posteraro B, Sanguinetti M, Gasbarrini A. Gut microbiota compositional and functional fingerprint in patients with alcohol use disorder and alcohol-associated liver disease. Liver Int. 2020; 40(4): 878-888. Doi: 10.1111/liv.14383

129. Peterson VL, Jury NJ, Cabrera-Rubio R, Draper LA, Crispie F, Cotter PD, Dinan TG, Holmes A, Cryan JF. Drunk bugs: Chronic vapour alcohol exposure induces marked changes in the gut microbiome in mice. Behav Brain Res. 2017; 323: 172-176. Doi: 10.1016/j. bbr.2017.01.049

130. Peterson VL, Richards JB, Meyer PJ, Cabrera-Rubio R, Tripi JA, King CP, Polesskaya O, Baud A, Chitre AS, Bastiaanssen TFS, Woods LS, Crispie F, Dinan TG, Cotter PD, Palmer AA, Cryan JF. Sex-dependent associations between addiction-related behaviors and the microbiome in outbred rats. EBioMedicine. 2020; 55: 102769. Doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2020.102769

131. Bajaj JS, Salzman NH, Acharya C, Sterling RK, White MB, Gavis EA, Fagan A, Hayward M, Holtz ML, Matherly S, Lee H, Osman M, Siddiqui MS, Fuchs M, Puri P, Sikaroodi M, Gillevet PM. Fecal Microbial Transplant Capsules Are Safe in Hepatic Encephalopathy: A Phase 1, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial. Hepatology. 2019; 70(5): 1690-1703. Doi: 10.1002/hep.30690 132. Canesso MCC, Lacerda NL, Ferreira CM, Gonçalves JL, Almeida D, Gamba C, Cassali G, Pedroso SH, Moreira C, Martins FS, Nicoli JR, Teixeira MM, Godard ALB, Vieira AT. Comparing the effects of acute alcohol consumption in germ-free and conventional mice: the role of the gut microbiota. BMC Microbiol. 2014; 14(1). Doi: 10.1186/s12866-014-0240-4 133. Markowiak P, Śliżewska K. Effects of Probiotics, Prebiotics, and Synbiotics on Human Health. Nutrients. 2017; 9(9): 1021. Doi: 10.3390/nu9091021

134. Tillisch K, Labus J, Kilpatrick L, Jiang Z, Stains J, Ebrat B, Guyonnet D, Legrain-Raspaud S, Trotin B, Naliboff B, Mayer EA. Consumption of Fermented Milk Product With Probiotic Modulates Brain Activity. Gastroenterology. 2013; 144(7): 1394-1401.e4. Doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2013.02.043

135. Pandey KavitaR, Naik SureshR, Vakil BabuV. Probiotics, prebiotics and synbiotics– a review. J Food Sci Technol. 2015; 52(12): 7577-7587. Doi: 10.1007/ s13197-015-1921-1

136. Chevalier G, Siopi E, Guenin-Macé L, Pascal M, Laval T, Rifflet A, Boneca IG, Demangel C, Colsch B, Pruvost A, Chu-Van E, Messager A, Leulier F, Lepousez G, Eberl G, Lledo PM. Effect of gut microbiota on depressive-like behaviors in mice is mediated by the endocannabinoid system. Nat Commun. 2020; 11(1): 6363. Doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19931-2

137. Papalini S, Michels F, Kohn N, Wegman J, van

Hemert S, Roelofs K, Arias-Vasquez A, Aarts E. Stress matters: a double-blind, randomized controlled trial on the effects of a multispecies probiotic on neurocognition. Published online February 13, 2018. Doi: 10.1101/263673

138. Fijan S. Microorganisms with Claimed Probiotic Properties: An Overview of Recent Literature. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2014; 11(5) 4745-4767. Doi: 10.3390/ijerph110504745

139. Savignac HM, Kiely B, Dinan TG, Cryan JF. Bifidobacteria exert strain-specific effects on stress-related behavior and physiology in BALB/c mice. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2014; 26(11): 1615-1627. Doi: 10.1111/nmo.12427

140. Pinto-Sanchez MI, Hall GB, Ghajar K, Nardelli A, Bolino C, Lau JT, Martin FP, Cominetti O, Welsh C, Rieder A, Traynor J, Gregory C, De Palma G, Pigrau M, Ford AC, Macri J, Berger B, Bergonzelli G, Surette MG, Collins SM, Moayyedi P, Bercik P. Probiotic Bifidobacterium longum NCC3001 Reduces Depression Scores and Alters Brain Activity: A Pilot Study in Patients With Irritable Bowel Syndrome. Gastroenterology. 2017; 153(2): 448-459.e8. Doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2017.05.003

141. Bravo JA, Forsythe P, Chew MV, Escaravage E, Savignac HM, Dinan TG, Bienenstock J, Cryan JF. Ingestion of Lactobacillus strain regulates emotional behavior and central GABA receptor expression in a mouse via the vagus nerve. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2011; 108(38): 16050-16055. Doi: 10.1073/ pnas.1102999108

142. Amadieu C, Coste V, Neyrinck AM, Thijssen V, Leyrolle Q, Bindels LB, Piessevaux H, Stärkel P, de Timary P, Delzenne NM, Leclercq S. Restoring an adequate dietary fiber intake by inulin supplementation: a pilot study showing an impact on gut microbiota and sociability in alcohol use disorder patients. Gut Microbes. 2021; 14(1): 2007042. Doi: 10.1080/19490976.2021.2007042

143. Chunchai T, Thunapong W, Yasom S, Wanchai K, Eaimworawuthikul S, Metzler G, Lungkaphin A, Pongchaidecha A, Sirilun S, Chaiyasut C, Pratchayasakul W, Thiennimitr P, Chattipakorn N, Chattipakorn SC. Decreased microglial activation through gut-brain axis by prebiotics, probiotics, or synbiotics effectively restored cognitive function in obese-insulin resistant rats. J Neuroinflammation. 2018; 15(1): 11. Doi: 10.1186/ s12974-018-1055-2

144. Peterson CT. Dysfunction of the Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis in Neurodegenerative Disease: The Promise of Therapeutic Modulation With Prebiotics, Medicinal Herbs, Probiotics, and Synbiotics. J Evid Based Integr Med. 2020; 25: 2515690X2095722. Doi:



10.1177/2515690x20957225

145. Ames NJ, Barb JJ, Schuebel K, Mudra S, Meeks BK, Tuason RTS, Brooks AT, Kazmi N, Yang S, Ratteree K, Diazgranados N, Krumlauf M, Wallen GR, Goldman D. Longitudinal gut microbiome changes in alcohol use disorder are influenced by abstinence and drinking quantity. Gut Microbes. 2020; 11(6): 1608-1631. Doi: 10.1080/19490976.2020.1758010

146. Carlson J, Erickson J, Hess J, Gould T, Slavin J. Prebiotic Dietary Fiber and Gut Health: Comparing the in Vitro Fermentations of Beta-Glucan, Inulin and Xylooligosaccharide. Nutrients. 2017; 9(12): 1361. Doi: 10.3390/nu9121361

147. Bajaj JS, Gavis EA, Fagan A, Wade JB, Thacker LR, Fuchs M, Patel S, Davis B, Meador J, Puri P, Sikaroodi M, Gillevet PM. A Randomized Clinical Trial of Fecal Microbiota Transplant for Alcohol Use Disorder. Hepatology. 2021; 73(5): 1688-1700. Doi: 10.1002/hep.31496 148. Leclercq S, Matamoros S, Cani PD, Neyrinck AM, Jamar F, Stärkel P, Windey K, Tremaroli V, Bäckhed F, Verbeke K, de Timary P, Delzenne NM. Intestinal permeability, gut-bacterial dysbiosis, and behavioral markers of alcohol-dependence severity. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2014; 111(42): E4485-4493. Doi: 10.1073/ pnas.1415174111 149. Bercik P, Denou E, Collins J, Jackson W, Lu J, Jury J, Deng Y, Blennerhassett P, Macri J, McCoy KD, Verdu EF, Collins SM. The Intestinal Microbiota Affect Central Levels of Brain-Derived Neurotropic Factor and Behavior in Mice. Gastroenterology. 2011; 141(2): 599-609.e3. Doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2011.04.052

150. Tsai YL, Lin TL, Chang CJ, Wu TR, Lai WF, Lu CC, Lai HC. Probiotics, prebiotics and amelioration of diseases. J Biomed Sci. 2019; 26(1): 3. Doi: 10.1186/ s12929-018-0493-6

151. Kump P, Wurm P, Gröchenig HP, Wenzl H, Petritsch W, Halwachs B, Wagner M, Stadlbauer V, Eherer A, Hoffmann KM, Deutschmann A, Reicht G, Reiter L, Slawitsch P, Gorkiewicz G, Högenauer C. The taxonomic composition of the donor intestinal microbiota is a major factor influencing the efficacy of faecal microbiota transplantation in therapy refractory ulcerative colitis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2017; 47(1): 67-77. Doi: 10.1111/apt.14387

152. David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, Gootenberg DB, Button JE, Wolfe BE, Ling AV, Devlin AS, Varma Y, Fischbach MA, Biddinger SB, Dutton RJ, Turnbaugh PJ. Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. Nature. 2013; 505(7484): 559-563. Doi: 10.1038/nature12820